

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/929,075	08/15/2001		Helmut Auweter	51705	8919
26474	7590	02/09/2004		EXAMINER	
KEIL & W			WANG, SHENGJUN		
1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, – -			1617	

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/929,075	AUWETER ET AL.				
, action, j thousand	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shengjun Wang	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	·				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:	-	•				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an w or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-10 and 19.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-18.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	• • • • • • • •					
10. \ Other: 1449 filed oct. 10, 200		<del></del> ;				
	, were nevero.					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Primary Examiner Shengjun Wang Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the prior office action. Particularly, the combination herein claimed are obvious in view of Kerkhoven..